The effect of sling exercise on sagittal lumbosacral angle and intervertebral disc area of chronic low back pain patients
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The purpose of this study was to observe the change of lumbosacral angle and intervertebral disc (IVD) area. The study was conducted on chronic low back pain (CLBP) female patients for 12 weeks by operating sling exercise and general physical therapy. The 57 CLBP were divided into 2 groups which, sling exercise group (SEG, n = 34) and general physical therapy group (PTG, n = 23). The experiment was conducted three times a week for 12 weeks. The lumbosacral angle, which means the angle between the L1–L2 lumbar was measured by plain radiography. The IVD area, which means the IVD height and volume was measured by magnetic resonance imaging. The pain was measured by visual analogue scale (VAS). As a result, after 12-week exercise, VAS had decreased in all groups. The angle of L3–4 and L4–5 and the height of IVD had increased in SEG. Also, IVD height and volume has more improved in SEG compare the PTG. Therefore, the sling exercise is proper treatment for CLBP patients’ recovery because it improve the lumbosacral angle and IVD area.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute low back pain can be cured in 10–12 weeks by conservative treatment (Van Tulder et al., 2000). However, 60%–75% of Korean patients who recovered from acute back pain have experiences of recurrence, 7%–10% of those have somatoform disorders caused by chronic low back pain (CLBP) (Korea Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2014). The result was reported that to reduce low back pain (LBP), exercise for muscle strengthen of lumbosacral part therapy is more effective to stabilize pelvic than physical therapy or pharmacotherapy which could be passive treatment (Carpenter and Nelson, 1999). It is common fact that sling exercise may reduce the pain by increase space of intervertebral disc (IVD) (MacDonald et al., 2006), however, LBP may recur and increase the pain when muscular strength of lumbosacral part is weakened (Verbunt et al., 2010). Therefore, Legaye and Duval-Beaupere (2008) announced focusing on the normal spinal alignment recovery is more appropriate to recover CLBP than recovery of muscular strength.

If the spinal alignment over the normal range, the pain in particular part could be occurred by localized compression in vertebral joint and IVD (Mac-Thiong et al., 2004). According to the increase of sedentary lifestyles, lumbosacral-pelvic sagittal alignment (LSA) over of normal range and also patient who complained of LBP is increasing recently (Mac-Thiong et al., 2004).

When the lumbar intervertebral are outside the normal range, the spinal nerve near the vertebral is stimulated by lumbar IVD and it cause the pain. Therefore, for the accurate assessment the study that observing the IVD area of lumber and lumbosacral IVD angle is needed.
Therefore, this study was conducted to find the effect of sling exercise on rehabilitation of normal range of angle. The study involved women with a high risk of CLBP. The change of lumbosacral IVD area and angle of the participants were observed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Research participants**

The subjects of this study was the patients who did not get spinal surgery or did not have fracture, tumor, inflammation, metabolic disease in Ho hospital which is located in Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea. The patients were divided into a sling exercise group (SEG, n = 34), whose mean age, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were 43.61 ± 10.27 years, 161.11 ± 3.37 cm, 56.74 ± 4.31 kg, and 21.85 ± 1.41 kg/m², respectively, and a general physical therapy group (PTG, n = 23), whose mean age, height, weight, and BMI were 45.08 ± 10.43 years, 161.39 ± 3.37 cm, 58.62 ± 5.42 kg, and 22.48 ± 1.52 kg/m², respectively. There were no significant differences between groups with respect to baseline characteristics. All patients read and signed informed consent forms in accordance with the ethical standard of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Sling exercise and physical therapy program**

The two treatment programs, consisting of sling and general physical therapy, were conducted three times a week for 12 weeks. The sling exercise program was part of the trunk and pelvic stability exercise that consisted of 6 movements: stretching of trunk-pelvis, hip-trunk bridging exercise, pelvic tilting exercise (anterior, posterior, and lateral side), low crossed exercise, trunk rotation exercise, Oscillate exercise of trunk-pelvis were carried out through supine position. Each action was performed 10–15 times for one set and repeated 3 times, and there were 90-sec rest time between each set. In the event of pain or muscle paralysis, exercise was suspended. Each action was orally described to the patient. Additionally, 15–20 min of superficial heating (heat pack) were provided followed by 5 min of ultrasound treatment (SM-250, Samson Med, Seoul, Korea) using a 1 MHz with 5 cm² in sound head at an intensity of 1.5 W/cm² in continuous mode and, 15–20 min of interferential current treatment (SM-850P, Samson Med, Seoul, Korea) at an intensity of 25 mA. The 15–20 min of traction treatment was conducted also when the patients felt extra exercise is needed, light walking was allocated to the patients. The pelvic incidence was measured by plain radiography (R-630-150, Dongkwang, Seoul, Korea) of the lumbar region and magnifying the images 3 times by using a picture archiving and communication system (PACS; Infiniff, Seoul, Korea). Each patient underwent a 30×90–cm lateral radiography of the lumbosacral region, printed on acetate with the individual standing, knees extended, and flexed arms in front. Care was taken to ensure that the radiography included both femoral heads. If the femoral heads did not overlap in the radiograph, the midpoint of the line connecting the isocenter of both femoral heads was taken as a reference point.

**The measurement of lumbosacral angle**

The measurement of lumbosacral angle and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed Fig. 1. Using radiography equipment of diagnosis X-ray model 630-125, sagittal lumbosacral part image was scanned by radiation specialist. It was the same way from the study of Jackson and McManus (1994). Each lumber IVD angle was measured from standing position to lumber 1–5. The way of measurement was to check the angle of intersection between two lines (vertebrae inferior surface of lumber and vertebrae upper surface of lumber). To measure the lumbosacral angle, the angle of intersection between two lines (inferior surface of lumber 5 and upper surface of sacral) was measured.
Magnetic resonance imaging

MRI examinations were carried out in the supine position, lasting between 7 and 10 min. The MRI protocol was performed on a 1.5-T high-definition 16-channel system (GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI, USA). The field of view was 71 cm for the sagittal images with an image matrix of 352×320 and a number of excitations was equal to 4. The lumbar images were obtained in separate sections and subsequently fused using the MRI pasting software on the workstation (Advantage Windows, GE Healthcare). All images were stored in DICOM format, exported as uncompressed full-size images (Centricity; GE Healthcare), and imported into LabVIEW for digitization (Professional ver. 10.0; National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA).

These digitized points were interpolated in 1-mm intervals, and these coordinates were used to determine the distance between adjacent vertebral endplates. Digitization was performed by a single operator after extensive training and familiarization. The images derived from the MRI scan were combined to produce a digital three-dimensional representation of lumbar IVDs to determine mean vertical IVD height and to calculate IVD volume.

Visual analog scale

A visual analog scale (VAS) was used to measure the degree of LBP. The VAS was developed in 1974 by Huskisson (1974). Subjects check the degrees of subjective pain on a 10-cm line, on which 0 cm indicates "no pain" at the left end and 10 cm indicates "very sever pain" at the right end. The vas score is determined as the measurement from the left side, with a higher score meaning a more severe pain intensity of the low back.

Research procedures and data analysis

Data were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). All data using Paired and independent t-tests were performed for intra and intergroup comparisons, VAS, lumbosacral angle, and IVD area. To evaluate the pre and post change rate in each group. % delta score was calculated, statistical significance was accepted at values of α < 0.05.

RESULTS

This study of results was shown in Table 1. There were no VAS differences between SEG and PTG but after the treatment, both of SEG and PTG significantly decreased (t = 22.595, P < 0.001) (t = 18.143, P < 0.001). However, there were no L1–2 and L2–3 differences in SEG and PTG. In SEG, L3–4 and L4–5 were considerably increased (t = -2.862, P < 0.05) (t = -3.088, P < 0.01), the rate of change in SEG was significantly higher than PTG (t = 2.090, P < 0.05) (t = 2.076, P < 0.05). Also, after treatment, there were considerable differences in the height of IVD between SEG and PTG (t = -2.246, P < 0.05). The height of IVD was not changed in PTG but after treatment, the height of IVD was increased in SEG (t = 2.044, P < 0.05). The rate of change of SEG was higher than the PTG’s (t = -2.039, P < 0.05). As a result, The IVD volume of SEG was greater compare to PTG (t = -2.022, P < 0.01).

Table 1. The change of VAS, lumbosacral angle, intervertebral disc area by treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SEG (n=34)</th>
<th>PTG (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS (score)</td>
<td>Pre 5.20±0.65</td>
<td>6.11±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 2.60±0.65</td>
<td>2.64±0.54***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%</td>
<td>-50.19±9.62</td>
<td>54.88±11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1–L2 (°)</td>
<td>Pre 4.66±2.44</td>
<td>5.43±1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 5.19±1.40</td>
<td>6.16±3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%</td>
<td>52.84±113.89</td>
<td>23.45±68.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2–L3 (°)</td>
<td>Pre 7.06±2.72</td>
<td>6.83±2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 6.79±1.60</td>
<td>6.56±2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%</td>
<td>13.59±65.41</td>
<td>0.49±30.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3–L4 (°)</td>
<td>Pre 8.57±2.39</td>
<td>8.78±3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 9.40±2.12*</td>
<td>8.63±2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%a</td>
<td>15.13±31.28</td>
<td>0.28±16.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4–L5 (°)</td>
<td>Pre 8.54±4.37</td>
<td>10.36±4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 10.45±2.81***</td>
<td>9.87±3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%a</td>
<td>56.16±92.73</td>
<td>15.56±58.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5–S1 (°)</td>
<td>Pre 14.50±5.63</td>
<td>14.15±4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 14.43±2.76</td>
<td>14.20±3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%</td>
<td>0.07±74.33</td>
<td>87.19±195.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVD height (mm)</td>
<td>Pre 3.90±3.36</td>
<td>3.99±2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 4.01±2.86*</td>
<td>3.94±2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%a</td>
<td>0.51±3.20</td>
<td>-1.40±4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVD volume (mm³)</td>
<td>Pre 1,720.36±265.62</td>
<td>1,680.09±289.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post 1,820.04±312.49</td>
<td>1,617.54±277.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%</td>
<td>9.34±29.49</td>
<td>-0.52±25.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

SEG, sling exercise group; PTG, general physical therapy group; VAS, visual analog scale; IVD, intervertebral disc; Δ%, delta score.
*a Independent t-test. *b Paired t-test. *P<0.05. **P<0.01. ***P<0.001.

DISCUSSION

CLBP means pain which lasts more than 12 weeks (Merskey and Bogduk 1994). The general reason of back pain is compression of spinal nerve by partially herniated IVD. It occurs when the
lumbosacral angle, L1 to L5 and S1, was changed (Glavas et al., 2009). The increase or decrease of lumbosacral lordosis provides the weight bearing to spine and IVD. It causes the compression of neuromuscle, muscle stiffness and disc degeneration (Verbunt et al., 2010). Therefore, recovery to normal lumbosacral angle is the main cause of the reducing LBP (Legaye and Duval-Beaupere, 2008).

There were correlation between IVD of lumbar and volume. If the volume of IVD is small and low, it increased the pain. Therefore, the improvement of IVD volume is the important factor to recover the pain (Derby et al., 2005).

As a result, for CLBP patients, sling exercise and physical therapy both had effect on decreasing VAS. However, there were differences between sling exercise and physical therapy in lumbosacral angle and IVD area. After physical therapy, the lumbosacral angle and IVD area was not changed. However, after sling exercise, angle of L3–4, L–5, and IVD height was increased. Also, IVD height and volume was more improved after sling exercise compare to physical therapy. The sling exercise increases the IVD area and reduces the pain by recovery muscle strength (MacDonald et al., 2006).

This kind of method can relieve pain by stimulating coordination of proprioception sense neuromuscular system which maintain posture (Kim and Kim, 2013). As a result of doing neurac sling exercise which is the vibration stimulus exercise using hanging rope for 12 weeks, it helps to stabilize low back and posture balance and increases neuromuscluer to CLBP patient (Kim and Kim, 2013). Also after doing sling exercise and push-up for 6 weeks, doing both exercise is more effective for LSA than doing only sling exercise (Kim et al., 2013).

The results of this study, the IVD height and volume in the CLBP showed significantly lower than the healthy women (Kingsley et al., 2012). However, physical therapy did not change the height and volume of the IVD. But sling exercise was would be able to indicate the height and volume of IVD was improved delayed regression of IVD of lumbar.

Among the intervention treatment for normal spinal alignment of patient with CLBP, the effect of laser, massage and spinal manipulation therapy is temporary. However, aquatic therapy, magnetic therapy, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, Ultrasound, acupuncture and pilates were reported it has no effect or the effect is unclear (Maher, 2004).

The exercise therapy for lumbosacral misalignments and recovery of normal lumbosacral angle improves muscle strength and stability of lumbosacral alignment. However, there are various results by types of exercises (Akuthota and Nadler, 2004; Chanplakorn et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2007). When this study and preceding study were combined, the lumbar multifidus strength, lumbosacral angle and IVD were improved by the sling exercise. Therefore, the sling exercise is proper treatment to recover the CLBP of patients.
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